ISUOG Practice Guidelines: role of ultrasound in twin pregnancy

Clinical Standards Committee

The International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology (ISUOG) is a scientific organization that encourages sound clinical practice, and high-quality teaching and research related to diagnostic imaging in women’s healthcare. The ISUOG Clinical Standards Committee (CSC) has a remit to develop Practice Guidelines and Consensus Statements as educational recommendations that provide healthcare practitioners with a consensus-based approach, from experts, for diagnostic imaging. They are intended to reflect what is considered by ISUOG to be the best practice at the time at which they are issued. Although ISUOG has made every effort to ensure that Guidelines are accurate when issued, neither the Society nor any of its employees or members accepts any liability for the consequences of any inaccurate or misleading data, opinions or statements issued by the CSC. The ISUOG CSC documents are not intended to establish a legal standard of care because interpretation of the evidence that underpins the Guidelines may be influenced by individual circumstances, local protocol and available resources. Approved Guidelines can be distributed freely with the permission of ISUOG (info@isuog.org).

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of multiple pregnancy is rising, mainly due to delayed childbirth and advanced maternal age at conception and the resultant widespread use of assisted reproduction techniques. The twin birth rate increased by just under 70% between 1980 (19 per 1000 live births) and 2006 (32 per 1000 live births). Twin pregnancy is associated with a high risk of perinatal mortality and morbidity. In 2009, the associated stillbirth rate was 12 per 1000 twin births and 31 per 1000 triplet and higher-order multiple births, compared with five per 1000 singleton births. Preterm birth prior to 37 weeks’ gestation occurs in up to 60% of multiple pregnancies, contributing to the increased risk of neonatal mortality (65% of neonatal deaths among multiple births are preterm, compared with 43% of neonatal deaths in singletons) and long-term morbidity. Of course, such complications rise with a reduction in gestational age at birth. In addition, compared with singletons, twins are at increased risk of iatrogenic preterm delivery due to the greater incidence of maternal and fetal complications. The risk is significantly higher in monochorionic compared with dichorionic pregnancy.

Ultrasound assessment of fetal biometry, anatomy, Doppler velocimetry and amniotic fluid volume is used to identify and monitor twin pregnancies at risk of adverse outcomes such as twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome (TTTS) and fetal growth restriction (FGR). As in singletons, impaired fetal growth can be assessed in twins by comparing biometry and Doppler velocimetry parameters against standards for uncomplicated pregnancy. This guidance will address the role of ultrasound in the care of uncomplicated twin pregnancy and those complicated by TTTS, selective FGR (sFGR), twin anemia–polycythemia sequence (TAPS), twin reversed arterial perfusion (TRAP) sequence, conjoined twins and single intrauterine death (IUD). The document provides guidance on the methods used to determine gestational age and chorionicity, screening for chromosomal and structural abnormalities, and screening for TTTS, TAPS, growth abnormalities and preterm birth. The management of higher-order multiple pregnancy will be covered in a separate document.

OUTLINE/SCOPE

- Dating of the pregnancy (determining gestational age)
- Determining chorionicity and amnionicity
- Twin labeling
- Timing, frequency and content of ultrasound assessment
- Screening for aneuploidy
- Prenatal diagnosis of aneuploidy
- Screening for structural abnormalities
- Diagnosis and management of discordant twin pregnancy
- Fetal reduction/selective termination
- Screening for preterm birth
- Screening, diagnosis and management of FGR
- Management of multiple pregnancy complicated by single IUD
Complications unique to monochorionic twin pregnancy

- Screening, diagnosis and management of TTTS
- Screening, diagnosis and management of TAPS
- Management of TRAP sequence
- Management of monochorionic monoamniotic (MCMA) twin pregnancy
- Diagnosis and management of conjoined twins

IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT OF EVIDENCE

The Cochrane Library and Cochrane Register of Controlled Trials were searched for relevant randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews and meta-analyses and a search of MEDLINE from 1966 to 2014 was carried out. The date of the last search was 15 November 2014. In addition, relevant conference proceedings and abstracts were searched. Databases were searched using the relevant MeSH terms including all sub-headings. This was combined with a keyword search using ‘twin’, ‘multiple’, ‘pregnancy’, ‘ultrasound’, ‘twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome’, ‘fetal growth restriction’, ‘twin anemia polycythemia sequence’, ‘twin reversed arterial perfusion’, ‘acardiac twin’, ‘monochorionic monoamniotic’, ‘conjoined’, ‘demise’. The National Library for Health and the National Guidelines Clearing House were also searched for relevant guidelines and reviews. Gray (unpublished) literature was identified through searching the websites of health technology assessment and health technology assessment-related agencies, clinical practice guideline collections and clinical trial registries. The search was limited to the English language. When possible, recommendations are based on, and explicitly linked to, the evidence that supports them, while areas lacking evidence are annotated as ‘good practice points’. Details of the grades of recommendations and levels of evidence used in these Guidelines are given in Appendix 1.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Dating of twin pregnancy

- Twin pregnancies should ideally be dated when the crown–rump length (CRL) measurement is between 45 and 84 mm (i.e. 11 + 0 to 13 + 6 weeks of gestation) (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).
- In pregnancies conceived spontaneously, the larger of the two CRLs should be used to estimate gestational age (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: C).

Other studies have recommended the use of the smaller CRL or the mean CRL, which takes into account both fetuses\(^{13-15}\). The disadvantage of using the smaller CRL is the potential of the operator believing that the larger twin is large-for-gestational age, and therefore being falsely reassured that the smaller twin is still growing appropriately. The most common practice is to use the larger CRL. If the woman presents after 14 weeks’ gestation, the larger head circumference should be used\(^1\). Twin pregnancies conceived via in-vitro fertilization should be dated using the oocyte retrieval date or the embryonic age from fertilization (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2+).

Determining chorionicity/amnionicity in twin pregnancy

- Chorionicity should be determined before 13 + 6 weeks of gestation using the membrane thickness at the site of insertion of the amniotic membrane into the placenta, identifying the T sign or lambda sign, and the number of placental masses. An ultrasound image demonstrating the chorionicity should be kept in the records for future reference (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).
- If it is not possible to determine chorionicity by transabdominal or transvaginal ultrasound in the routine setting, a second opinion should be sought from a tertiary referral center (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).
- At the time at which chorionicity is determined, amnionicity should also be determined and documented. MCMA twin pregnancies should be referred to a tertiary center with expertise in their management (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

Every attempt should be made to determine the chorionicity of a twin pregnancy. Chorionicity should be determined between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks of gestation using the membrane thickness at the site of insertion of the amniotic membrane into the placenta, identifying the T-sign or lambda sign (Figure 1), and the number of placental masses visualized using ultrasound\(^3\). It is important to examine the dividing membrane carefully; in dichorionic diamniotic twin pregnancy, the twins are separated by a thick layer of fused chorionic membranes with two thin amniotic layers, one on each side, giving the appearance of a ‘full lambda’, compared with only two thin amniotic layers separating the two fetuses in monochorionic diamniotic (MCDA) twin pregnancy (the T-sign). In women presenting for the first time after 14 weeks of gestation, chorionicity is best determined using the same ultrasound signs, in particular by counting the membrane layers, and noting discordant fetal sex. The reliability of the number of placental masses is questionable, as dichorionic placentae are commonly adjacent to each other, appearing as a single mass, and 3% of monochorionic twin pregnancies have two placental masses on ultrasound, the presence of which does not preclude the presence of vascular anastomoses\(^16\). It is likely that using a combination of ultrasound features, rather than a single one, would be more accurate\(^1\).

If it is not possible to determine chorionicity by transabdominal ultrasound imaging, this should be attempted using transvaginal sonography. If it is still not possible to determine chorionicity, a second opinion should be sought from a tertiary referral center. If the center is uncertain about the chorionicity, it is safer to classify the pregnancy as monochorionic\(^1\) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).
At the time at which chorionicity is determined, amnionicity (i.e. whether or not the twins share the same amniotic sac) should be determined and documented. In case of doubt, absence of the intertwin membrane is best confirmed by transvaginal scan. Another useful finding is demonstration of cord entanglement, which is almost universal in MCMA twin pregnancy, using color and pulsed-wave Doppler ultrasound. Using pulsed-wave Doppler, two distinct arterial waveform patterns with different heart rates are seen within the same sampling gate (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 4).

All MCMA twin pregnancies should be referred to a tertiary center with expertise in their management. It is recommended that an ultrasound image demonstrating the chorionicity is stored electronically and that a hard copy is added to the medical records. As determination of chorionicity is most accurate at 11–14 weeks’ gestation when the amnion and chorion have not yet fused, the first-trimester scan in twin pregnancy is paramount (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 4).

Labeling of twin fetuses

- The labeling of twin fetuses should follow a reliable and consistent strategy and should be documented clearly in the woman’s notes (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

It is important to follow a reliable, consistent strategy for antenatal twin labeling. Options include: labeling according to their site, either left and right, or upper and lower; or mapping in the first trimester according to the insertion of their cords relative to the placental edges and membrane insertion. In some healthcare settings, Twin A is the fetus on the right side, while Twin B is the one on the left. This information should be documented clearly in the woman’s notes in order to ensure consistent labeling during follow-up scans. It is advisable to describe each twin using as many features as possible so as to enable others to identify them accurately; e.g. ‘Twin A (female) is on the maternal right with a posterior placenta and marginal cord insertion’. For pregnancies with discordance, the labeling should be accompanied by a description such as ‘Twin A, potential recipient’. It is important to acknowledge that labeling is less accurate in MCMA twin pregnancy, particularly in the first trimester.

The perinatal switch phenomenon

It should be borne in mind that the twins labeled as ‘Twin A’ and ‘Twin B’ during antenatal ultrasound scans may not necessarily be delivered in that order, in particular if the mode of delivery is Cesarean section. It is important to alert parents and healthcare professionals attending the delivery to this fact, particularly in pregnancies in which the twins are discordant for structural abnormalities that are not obvious by external examination, e.g. congenital diaphragmatic hernia or cardiac defects. In such cases, an ultrasound scan should be performed just prior to delivery and also before instigating any specific neonatal intervention.

Routine monitoring of twin pregnancy with ultrasound

- Women with an uncomplicated dichorionic twin pregnancy should have a first-trimester scan, a detailed second-trimester scan, and scans every 4 weeks thereafter. Complicated dichorionic twins should be scanned more frequently, depending on the condition and its severity (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).
- Uncomplicated monochorionic twins should have a first-trimester scan and be scanned every 2 weeks after 16 weeks in order to detect TTTS and TAPS in a timely manner. Complicated monochorionic twins should be scanned more frequently, depending on the condition and its severity (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: C).

In uncomplicated dichorionic twin pregnancy, ultrasound imaging should be performed in the first trimester, again at around 20 weeks’ gestation (second-trimester
anomaly scan), and every 4 weeks thereafter (unless a complication is detected which might require more frequent scans) (Figure 2). In uncomplicated monochorionic twins, an ultrasound scan should be performed in the first trimester. There should then be scans every 2 weeks from 16 weeks onwards, as timely detection of TTTS and TAPS has been shown to improve perinatal outcome (Figure 3) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 4).

At each ultrasound assessment, the following should be assessed: fetal biometry, amniotic fluid volume and umbilical artery Doppler (from 20 weeks gestation) for both twins. Discordance in estimated fetal weight (EFW) should be calculated and documented at each scan from 20 weeks. In monochorionic twin pregnancy, middle cerebral artery (MCA) peak systolic velocity (PSV) should be recorded from 20 weeks, in order to screen for TAPS. In MCDA twins, the amniotic fluid volume (deepest vertical pocket) should be assessed and documented at each ultrasound scan to screen for TTTS. Cervical length assessment is performed ideally at the same visit as the anomaly scan in the second trimester, in order to identify women at risk of extreme preterm birth (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2*.*+,*++). Screening for chromosomal abnormalities in twin pregnancy

- Screening for trisomy 21 can be performed in the first trimester using the combined test (nuchal translucency thickness (NT), free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) level and pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) level). An alternative is combination of maternal age and NT only (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

- In case of a vanished twin, if there is still a measurable fetal pole, NT alone, in combination with maternal age, should be used for risk estimation (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

- The detection rate (DR) of non-invasive prenatal testing for trisomy 21 may be lower in twins than in singletons, but data are still limited (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

In twin pregnancy, screening for trisomy 21 can be performed in the first trimester using the combined test, which includes maternal age, NT measurement and serum β-hCG and PAPP-A levels. An alternative is the combination of maternal age and the NT recorded between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks of gestation. In case of a vanished twin, if there is still a measurable fetal pole, β-hCG and PAPP-A measurements are biased and NT alone should be used for risk estimation. The risk of trisomy 21 in monochorionic twin pregnancy is calculated per pregnancy based on the average risk of both fetuses (because the twins share the same karyotype), whereas in dichorionic twin pregnancy, screening for trisomy 21 can be performed in the second trimester using the single test, which includes maternal age, NT measurement and serum β-hCG level.
Invasive prenatal diagnosis in twin pregnancy

- CVS is preferred in dichorionic twin pregnancy (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).

Invasive testing for chromosomal or genetic analysis of twins should be carried out by a fetal medicine expert. CVS is preferred in dichorionic twin pregnancy because it can be performed earlier than can amniocentesis. Earlier diagnosis of any aneuploidy is particularly important in twin pregnancy, given the lower risk of selective termination in the first compared with the second trimester (7% risk of loss of the entire pregnancy, and 14% risk of delivery before 32 weeks)\(^29\). It is important to map carefully the position of the twins within the uterus. During amniocentesis in monochorionic twins, if monochorionicity has been confirmed before 14 weeks’ gestation and the fetuses appear concordant for growth and anatomy, it is acceptable to sample only one amniotic sac. Otherwise, both amniotic sacs should be sampled because of the possibility of rare discordant chromosomal anomalies in monochorionic pregnancy. CVS in monochorionic pregnancy will sample only the single placenta so will miss these rare discordant chromosomal anomalies. Discordance for most of the common human aneuploidies (trisomies 13, 18 and 21, Turner syndrome and triploidy) has been reported in monochorionic twin pairs\(^30\). In the event of heterokaryotypic monochorionic pregnancy, selective reduction by umbilical cord occlusion can be offered from 16 weeks onwards, with a survival rate of more than 80% for the healthy twin\(^31,32\). When monochorionic twins are discordant for an abnormality, prior to invasive testing a discussion should take place regarding the complexity of selective termination, should it become necessary\(^32\) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

Implications of discordance in NT or CRL in the first trimester

- The management of twin pregnancy with CRL discordance ≥ 10% or of NT discordance ≥ 20% should be discussed with a fetal medicine expert (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

Although some studies have reported an association between first-trimester intertwin discordance in NT or CRL, or reversed a-wave in the ductus venosus (DV), and the development of TTTS, their predictive value is poor\(^17,33–35\). NT discordance of ≥ 20% had a sensitivity of 52–64% and a specificity of 78–80%, a positive predictive value of 50% and a negative predictive value of 86% for the development of TTTS\(^36,37\). Discordance in NT of ≥ 20% is found in around 25% of monochorionic twins and the risk of early IUD or development of severe TTTS in this group is more than 30%\(^37\). The risk of complications is less than 10% if the NT discordance is < 20%\(^37\). An abnormal DV will pick up only 38% of all pregnancies that subsequently develop TTTS, and, of those predicted to be at high risk, only 30% will ultimately develop TTTS\(^35\). Similarly, although intertwin discordance in CRL at 11–13 weeks’ gestation is significantly associated with the risk of pregnancy loss (area under the receiver–operating characteristics curve (AUC), 0.5), birth-weight discordance (AUC, 0.6), sGR (AUC, 0.6) and preterm delivery prior to 34 weeks’ gestation (AUC, 0.5), again the predictive value is poor (pooled predictive risk of 52%)\(^18,39\). Nevertheless, the management of twin pregnancy with CRL discordance ≥ 10% or NT discordance ≥ 20% should be discussed with a fetal medicine expert and in these pregnancies there should be detailed ultrasound assessment and testing for karyotype abnormalities. The risk of fetal abnormalities was found to be 25% in pregnancies with CRL discordance ≥ 10%,
compared with 4% in pregnancies with CRL discordance < 10%. However, CRL discordance at 7 to 9 + 6 weeks' gestation is a predictor of the risk of single fetal demise in the first trimester (DR of 74% for a FPR of 5%) \(^4¹\) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++)

Ultrasound screening for structural abnormalities in twin pregnancy

- Twin fetuses should be assessed for the presence of any major anomalies at the first-trimester scan, and a routine second-trimester (anomaly) scan should be performed at around 20 (18–22) weeks' gestation (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).
- Cardiac screening assessment should be performed in monochorionic twins (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

At the first-trimester scan (between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks' gestation) the fetuses should be assessed for the presence of any major anomalies \(^4²\). Routine second-trimester ultrasound screening for anomalies in twins should be performed by an experienced operator at around 20 (18–22) weeks' gestation \(^1\,\,⁴³\). This scan may be more difficult than usual because of the presence of a second fetus, and it is important to allow adequate time (i.e. in the order of 45 min). The risk of fetal anomaly is greater in twin compared with singleton pregnancy \(^4⁴\). The rate per fetus in dizygotic twins is probably the same as that in singletons, whereas it is two-to-three times higher in monzygotic twins. In around 1 in 25 dichorionic, 1 in 15 MCDA and 1 in 6 monoamniotic twin pregnancies, there is a major congenital anomaly that typically affects only one twin \(^4⁵\,\,⁴⁶\). Therefore, screening for anomalies should be considered in monochorionic twin pregnancy, bearing in mind that brain and cardiac abnormalities might become more obvious in the third trimester. Abnormalities associated with twins include neural tube defects, anterior abdominal wall defects, facial clefts, brain abnormalities, cardiac defects and gastrointestinal anomalies. Therefore, screening cardiac assessment should be performed according to ISUOG guidance \(^4⁷\), including laterality, situs and four-chamber, ventricular outflow tract and aortic arch views. It is important to make the woman aware of the limitations of ultrasound screening, which vary according to the type of anomaly. The benefits of screening for fetal anomaly in the second trimester include giving parents the chance to prepare for the birth of a baby with a potential problem, offering them the option of termination, allowing transfer to a specialist center for the birth, and, potentially, facilitating intrauterine therapy \(^¹\) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

Managing twin pregnancy discordant for fetal anomaly

- Twin pregnancies discordant for fetal anomaly should be referred to a regional fetal medicine center (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

One to two percent of twin pregnancies will have an anomaly affecting only one fetus, leading to the challenging decision between expectant management and selective termination of the affected twin. Even in monozygotic twins, concordance for a structural anomaly is found in fewer than 20% of cases. Such pregnancies should be referred to a regional fetal medicine center for further management \(^¹\). In monochorionic twins discordant for a structural abnormality, discordant aneuploidy is very rare (though not impossible). In these situations, expert ultrasound assessment in a tertiary center, with invasive fetal chromosomal or genetic testing if indicated, and a discussion of the likely prognosis for both the affected and the normal twin, are essential. For conditions that are lethal and carry a high risk of intrauterine demise, conservative management is preferred in dichorionic twins, whereas in monochorionic twin pregnancy this would warrant intervention to protect the healthy cotwin against the adverse effects of spontaneous demise of the other.

Selective feticide in twin pregnancy

- In dichorionic twin pregnancy, selective feticide is performed by ultrasound-guided intracardiac or intrafunicular injection of potassium chloride or lignocaine, preferably in the first trimester (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).
- When the diagnosis is made in the second trimester, women might opt for late selective termination in the third trimester, if the law permits (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).
- Selective feticide in monochorionic twins is performed by cord occlusion, intrafetal laser ablation or radiofrequency ablation (RFA) (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

The timing of selective termination in twin pregnancy influences the risk of miscarriage and/or preterm birth. This is particularly relevant in twin pregnancies discordant for anomalies, in which selective termination in the second trimester is associated with a higher risk of miscarriage and preterm birth, compared with that in the first trimester (7% risk of loss of the entire pregnancy, and 14% risk of delivery before 32 weeks) \(^²⁹\). When the diagnosis is made in the second trimester, women might opt for a late selective termination in the third trimester, if the law permits, when the procedure is associated with a risk of preterm birth rather than fetal loss of the unaffected twin. The pros and cons of each option should be considered (prematurity, loss rate, parental stress, availability of a fetal medicine specialist to perform the procedure in the event of preterm labor, and risk of complications associated with the specific anomaly) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++). Selective feticide in dichorionic twin pregnancy is performed by ultrasound-guided intracardiac or intrafunicular injection of ‘strong’ potassium chloride or 1% lignocaine. When selective termination of one twin of a monochorionic pair is the choice, injection of potassium chloride is not an option because of the risk to the healthy cotwin. Instead, cord occlusion, intrafetal laser ablation or RFA of the affected twin is necessary \(^⁴⁸\,⁴⁹\). This leads to
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demise of the affected twin while protecting the healthy twin against losing part of its circulating blood volume into the terminated twin following its death. The survival rate of the cotwin is approximately 80% and the risk of premature rupture of the membranes and preterm birth prior to 32 weeks is 20%.49. The risk of adverse neurological sequelae in the surviving cotwin may also be increased compared with that in uncomplicated pregnancy49–52 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++).

Screening for risk of preterm birth in twin pregnancy

• Cervical length measurement is the preferred method of screening for preterm birth in twins; 25 mm is the cut-off most commonly used in the second trimester (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

Both spontaneous and iatrogenic preterm births are more common in twin than in singleton pregnancy2. More than half of twins are born before 37 weeks of gestation (60% and 12% of twin births occur before 37 and 32 weeks of gestation, respectively; these rates are 5.4 and 7.6 times the equivalent rates for singleton pregnancy, respectively)2. Asymptomatic women found to have a short cervix at the second-trimester ultrasound scan are known to be at increased risk of spontaneous preterm birth53,54. However, the sensitivity of this finding is low, and the cut-off of the cervical length used to define increased risk of preterm birth is controversial. A cervical length < 25 mm at 18–24 weeks’ gestation in twin pregnancy is a moderate predictor of preterm birth before 34 weeks, but not before 37 weeks53,54. In asymptomatic women, a cervical length ≤ 20 mm at 20–24 weeks was the most accurate predictor of preterm birth before 32 and before 34 weeks (pooled sensitivities, specificities and positive and negative likelihood ratios were 39% and 29%; 96% and 97%; 10.1 and 9.0; and 0.64 and 0.74, respectively). A cervical length ≤ 25 mm at 20–24 weeks had a pooled positive likelihood ratio of 9.6 for the prediction of preterm birth before 28 weeks53,54. The predictive accuracy of cervical length for preterm birth was low in symptomatic women53,54 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++).

Moreover, there is no effective strategy to prevent preterm birth in these women. Bed rest, progesterone therapy, Arabin cervical pessary or oral tocolytics do not reduce the risk of preterm delivery in these women1,35–60. However, progesterone therapy might reduce the risk of neonatal morbidity and mortality53. Ongoing research may clarify management in this area in due course (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 1+).

Screening, diagnosis and management of fetal growth restriction (FGR)

Diagnostic criteria and investigations for selective FGR (sFGR)

• sFGR, conventionally, is defined as a condition in which one fetus has EFW < 10th centile and the intertwin EFW discordance is > 25% (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

• A discordance cut-off of 20% seems acceptable to distinguish pregnancies at increased risk of adverse outcome (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

The definition, assessment and management of FGR are inconsistent among clinicians. If both twins have an EFW < 10th centile, the fetuses should be termed small-for-gestational age. Conventionally, sFGR is a term applied to twin pregnancies in which one fetus has an EFW < 10th centile and the intertwin EFW discordance is > 25%61,62. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists considers a difference of 15–25% in the EFW to constitute discordant fetal growth63. A cut-off of 18% for discordance in birth weight was found to predict adverse outcome optimally49. Some clinicians do not take into account the intertwin EFW discordance (and simply use instead EFW < 10th centile in one twin). Furthermore, the discordance cut-off most predictive of adverse outcome is likely to vary with gestational age65. A discordance cut-off of 20% seems a pragmatic choice for distinguishing pregnancies at increased risk of adverse outcome (consensus of Guideline authors). EFW discordance is calculated by the following formula: ((weight of larger twin – weight of smaller twin) × 100)/weight of larger twin (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++)

Once a diagnosis has been made, a cause should be sought62. This search should include a detailed anomaly scan and screening for viral infections (cytomegalovirus, rubella and toxoplasmosis). Amniocentesis may also be required to exclude chromosomal abnormalities as a cause of FGR62. sFGR in monochorionic twin pregnancy occurs mainly due to unequal sharing of the placental mass and vasculature66 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

Screening for FGR in twin pregnancy

• A combination of head, abdomen and femur measurements performs best in calculating EFW (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B).

• If intertwin discordance is ≥ 25%, a referral should be made to a tertiary fetal medicine center (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

Assessing EFW using ultrasound is less accurate in twin than in singleton pregnancy67. EFW charts that include a combination of head, abdomen and femur measurements perform best in both singleton and twin pregnancy67. Currently, the charts used to monitor fetal growth in twin pregnancy are the same as those used for singletons. However, there is a reduction in fetal growth in twin compared with singleton pregnancy, particularly in the third trimester68. This is particularly marked in MCDA pregnancies. This suggests that specific twin growth charts should be used for documenting and monitoring growth in twin pregnancies. However, the use of specific twin growth charts is controversial due to the concern that the reduced growth in the third trimester observed in most twin pregnancies might be caused by some degree of placental insufficiency, warranting close observation (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++).
EFW discordance between twins is significantly associated with the risk of perinatal loss\textsuperscript{69}. The hazard ratio for the total risk of perinatal loss in twins with an EFW discordance $\geq 25\%$ was found to be 7.3. According to the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidance, EFW discordance should be calculated and documented at every scan from 20 weeks onwards. If this discordance reaches 25\% or more, a referral should be made to a tertiary-level fetal medicine unit for assessment, increased fetal surveillance, including fetal Doppler, and planning of delivery when appropriate\textsuperscript{1} (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++)

\textbf{Classification of monochorionic twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR}

- Classification of sFGR in monochorionic twins depends on the pattern of end-diastolic velocity at umbilical artery Doppler (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

The classification of sFGR depends on the pattern of end-diastolic velocity in the umbilical artery (Figure 4)\textsuperscript{70}. In Type I, the umbilical artery Doppler waveform has positive end-diastolic flow. In Type II, there is absent or reversed end-diastolic flow (AREDF). In Type III, there is a cyclical/intermittent pattern of AREDF. The survival rate in Type-I sFGR is greater than 90\% (in-utero mortality rates of up to 4\%). Type-II sFGR is associated with a high risk of IUD of the growth-restricted twin and/or very preterm delivery with associated risk of neurodevelopmental delay. Type-III sFGR is associated with a 10–20\% risk of sudden death of the growth-restricted fetus, which is unpredictable (even in cases in which ultrasound features have been stable). There is also a high (up to 20\%) associated rate of neurological morbidity in the surviving larger twin\textsuperscript{61,71} (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++)

\textbf{Managing twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR}

- In dichorionic pregnancies, sFGR should be followed as in growth-restricted singletons (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).
- There is limited evidence to guide the management of monochorionic twins affected by sFGR (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

In dichorionic twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR, the timing of delivery should be determined based on a risk–benefit assessment and according to the wishes of the parents, guided by obstetric and neonatal counseling. As these twins have separate circulations, the pregnancy can be followed as in growth-restricted singleton pregnancy, monitoring for progressive deterioration of umbilical artery, MCA and DV Doppler, and of biophysical profile scores. These pregnancies should be managed in specialist centers with the relevant expertise. There is limited evidence to guide the management of monochorionic twins affected by sFGR. Options include: conservative management followed by early delivery; laser ablation; or cord occlusion of the growth-restricted twin (in order to protect the cotwin)\textsuperscript{72} (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2–). 

\textbf{Follow-up of twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR}

- In dichorionic twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR, fetal Doppler should be assessed approximately every 2 weeks, depending on the severity. In monochorionic twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR, fetal Doppler should be assessed at least weekly (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{classification.png}
\caption{Classification of selective fetal growth restriction in monochorionic twin pregnancy. In Type I, the umbilical artery Doppler waveform has positive end-diastolic flow, while in Type II there is absent or reversed end-diastolic flow (AREDF). In Type III there is a cyclical/intermittent pattern of AREDF.}
\end{figure}
• If there is a substantial risk of fetal demise of one cotwin before 26 weeks, selective termination may be considered (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).

In monochorionic twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR, fetal growth should be assessed at least every 2 weeks, and fetal Doppler (umbilical and MCA) at least weekly. If the umbilical artery Doppler is abnormal, assessment of the DV blood flow should be undertaken. The aim in managing these pregnancies is to prolong the pregnancy at least until viability is achieved, while at the same time avoiding single IUD with its associated serious consequences for the surviving cotwin. In dichorionic twin pregnancy complicated by sFGR, follow-up visits could be less frequent, as delivery is usually not recommended before 32–34 weeks’ gestation.

In cases in which Doppler assessment concludes that there is a real risk of fetal demise of one twin before 26 weeks of gestation, the option of selective termination should be explored in order to protect the normally grown fetus from serious harm should the smaller twin die in utero. Management of these cases is complex and should be coordinated by a tertiary-level fetal medicine center72 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2−).

The timing of delivery should be decided based on assessment of fetal wellbeing, interval growth, biophysical profile, DV waveform and/or computerized cardiotocography (CTG), when available. However, as the risk of IUD in these pregnancies is increased, delivery might be indicated even before abnormalities in the DV Doppler or the computerized CTG become evident. Furthermore, the incidence of severe cerebral injury in monochorionic twins complicated by sFGR is approximately 10% and is associated with abnormal umbilical artery Doppler, single IUD and low gestational age at birth73. Interestingly, the risks of neonatal morbidity (38% vs 19%), particularly respiratory distress syndrome (32% vs 6%) and cerebral lesions, are higher in the larger than in the smaller cotwin74 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2+++).

Managing the surviving twin after demise of its cotwin

• When single IUD occurs in a twin pregnancy, the woman should be referred to a tertiary-level center with relevant expertise (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

Following single IUD, the following complications are found in monochorionic and dichorionic pregnancies, respectively75–77:

• Death of the cotwin: 15% and 3%.
• Preterm delivery: 68% and 54%.
• Abnormal postnatal cranial imaging of the surviving cotwin: 34% and 16%.
• Neurodevelopmental impairment of the surviving cotwin: 26% and 2% (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2++).

When one monochorionic twin dies in utero, the surviving twin may then lose part of its circulating volume to the dead twin, leading to potentially severe hypotension in the survivor. This can lead to hypoperfusion of the brain and other organs, which can cause brain damage or death (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

When single IUD occurs in a monochorionic twin pregnancy, the woman should be managed at a tertiary-level center with relevant expertise. This should include assessment of fetal Doppler, especially MCA-PSV, in order to look for signs of fetal anemia in the surviving twin. Conservative management (i.e. continuing the pregnancy) is often the most appropriate course of action. Swift delivery is usually not indicated, because, if the surviving twin suffers any neurological harm, this has often already happened by the time the death has been diagnosed. If the pregnancy is at term, then it makes sense to deliver without delay, but if it is preterm, prolonging the pregnancy for the benefit of the surviving twin (in terms of increased maturity) is usually recommended. Detailed counseling of the parents is required. This should include an explanation of the risk that there might be significant long-term morbidity (neurological or otherwise) to the surviving twin but that this damage may have taken place already and urgent delivery may be too late to prevent such harm. In the short term, the surviving twin should be assessed for evidence of ongoing fetal compromise using CTG or MCA Doppler to assess for fetal anemia78. If conservative management is chosen, fetal biometry and assessment of umbilical and MCA Doppler should be scheduled every 2–4 weeks, and delivery should be considered at 34–36 weeks, after a course of maternal steroids. If the MCA-PSV is normal in the first few days, fetal anemia is unlikely to occur later. The fetal brain should be imaged around 4–6 weeks after the death of the cotwin to search for evidence of cerebral morbidity. In cases in which there is strong evidence that the surviving cotwin may have suffered serious neurological harm, late termination of pregnancy should be considered as an option. Neurodevelopmental assessment of the surviving twin at the age of 2 years should be recommended. There have been some reports of intrauterine transfusion of an anemic surviving cotwin, but whether this prevents long-term neurological morbidity is unknown79–81 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

Complications which occur only in monochorionic twin pregnancy include TTTS, TAPS, TRAP sequence, monoamniotic pregnancy and conjoined twinning.

Screening, diagnosis, staging and management of TTTS

Up to one third of twin pregnancies are monochorionic. In nearly all monochorionic twins, the placenta contains vascular anastomoses connecting the two fetal circulations. It is the angioarchitecture of these vascular anastomoses that determines the risk profile. Monochorionic twins are at risk of developing TTTS when there is unequal hemodynamic and amniotic fluid balance82–85. The diagnosis of TTTS requires the presence of significant amniotic
fluid imbalance. The ‘donor’ twin has a DVP of < 2 cm (oligohydramnios) and the ‘recipient’ twin has a DVP > 8 cm (polyhydramnios). In Europe, the diagnosis of polyhydramnios is made when DVP ≥ 8 cm at ≤ 20 weeks and ≥ 10 cm after 20 weeks’ gestation. Size discordance is a common finding, but is not essential for the diagnosis. TTTS affects 10–15% of monochorionic twin pregnancies and is associated with increased perinatal mortality and morbidity; if untreated, it leads to fetal demise in up to 90% of cases, with morbidity rates in survivors of over 50%88–90. Early diagnosis, however, may allow intervention with fetoscopic laser ablation, which significantly improves the prognosis. Laser treatment in these pregnancies results in 60–70% double survival and 80–90% survival of at least one twin85–87.

Staging of TTTS

- Although Quintero staging does not always predict accurately outcome or chronological evolution of TTTS, it remains the classification system of choice (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

TTTS is currently classified using the Quintero staging system (Table 1)82,83. There is some debate about the validity of Quintero staging of TTTS. It has been noted that Stage I disease is not necessarily associated with the best outcomes. For example, some recipient twins in pregnancies categorized as Quintero stage I TTTS may have a degree of cardiac dysfunction88–90. Another criticism is that it does not represent a chronological order of deterioration, e.g. Stage 1 can become Stage 5 without passing through Stages 2, 3 and 4, and it does not predict survival well after treatment. While incorporation of additional cardiovascular parameters stratifies additional disease features independent of Quintero staging, these do not improve prediction of outcome following treatment. Nevertheless, the Quintero staging system remains the most commonly used for the classification of twin pregnancy complicated by TTTS (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2+).

Screening for TTTS

- In monochorionic twin pregnancy, screening for TTTS should start at 16 weeks, with scans repeated every 2 weeks thereafter (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

Table 1 Quintero staging system82

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Polyhydramnios–oligohydramnios sequence: DVP &gt; 8 cm in recipient twin and DVP &lt; 2 cm in donor twin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Bladder in donor twin not visible on ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Absent or reversed umbilical artery diastolic flow, reversed ductus venosus a-wave flow, pulsatile umbilical venous flow in either twin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Hydrops in one or both twins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Death of one or both twins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DVP, deepest vertical pocket.

Monitoring of monochorionic twin pregnancy for the development of TTTS should start with a scan at 16 weeks’ gestation; scans should be repeated every 2 weeks thereafter. At every scan, the operator should note and record evidence of membrane folding and measure the DVP of amniotic fluid for each fetus. If significant inequality in DVP exists or there is membrane infolding, then more frequent ultrasound surveillance may be warranted. TTTS is far less common in MCMA, compared with MCDA, twin pregnancy; the ultrasound diagnostic features include polyhydramnios in the common amniotic sac and discordant bladder sizes.

Prognosis for monochorionic twin pregnancy with amniotic fluid discordance

- Monochorionic twin pregnancies with uncomplicated amniotic fluid discordance can be followed up on a weekly basis to exclude progression to TTTS (GOOD PRACTICE POINT).

Monochorionic twin pregnancies with amniotic fluid discordance between the twins which does not fulfill the 8 cm/2 cm criterion (in other words, it falls within the ‘normal’ range), and normal umbilical artery Doppler measurements, are associated with a good outcome (93% overall survival) and a low risk (14%) of progression to severe TTTS91–93. However, it is common practice for these pregnancies to be followed on a weekly basis initially, to ensure that there is no progression to TTTS (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2+).

Treatment of TTTS

- Laser ablation is the treatment of choice for TTTS at Quintero stages II and above (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: A). Laser ablation can be considered for Quintero stage I (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: B). When laser treatment is not available, serial amnioreduction is an acceptable alternative after 26 weeks’ gestation (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: A).

TTTS diagnosed before 26 weeks of gestation is best treated by laser ablation, as the evidence suggests that it leads to better outcomes compared with amnioreduction or septostomy85 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 1+). It is generally accepted that Quintero stages II and above will require treatment, and many centers will manage Quintero stage I conservatively. However, if laser ablation expertise is not available, amnioreduction is an acceptable alternative in pregnancies diagnosed after 26 weeks of gestation85. There is, in fact, some evidence that laser ablation is still the best form of treatment for TTTS, regardless of whether it is diagnosed early (before 16 weeks) or late (after 26 weeks’ gestation)93,94. Both conservative management with close surveillance and laser treatment are considered reasonable options in Stage 1 TTTS, pending
the results of randomized trials comparing conservative management with laser therapy. If conservative management is chosen for Quintero stage I, worsening polyhydramnios, maternal discomfort and shortening of the cervical length are considered ‘rescue’ criteria signalling a need to proceed with fetoscopic laser treatment. In a systematic review of the management of Stage 1 TTTS pregnancy, overall survival appeared to be similar for those undergoing laser therapy or conservative management (85% and 86%, respectively), but was somewhat lower for those undergoing amnioreduction (77%).

Following laser treatment, the recurrence rate of TTTS is up to 14%, which is likely to be due to anastomoses missed at the time of the initial laser treatment. The risk of recurrence of TTTS and occurrence of TAPS is reduced by use of the Solomon technique (equatorial laser dichorionization) compared with the highly-selective technique.

Another option for the management of severe TTTS is selective termination of pregnancy using bipolar diathermy, laser coagulation or RFA of one of the umbilical cords. This means that this fetus is sacrificed in the hope of protecting the other twin from death or cerebral damage. Rarely, parents may opt for termination of the entire pregnancy.

Follow-up and optimal gestational age for delivery in twin pregnancy with TTTS

- A common practice is weekly ultrasound assessment for the first 2 weeks after treatment, reducing to alternate weeks following clinical evidence of resolution. In case of demise of one fetus (post-laser), brain imaging of the surviving cotwin should be considered 4–6 weeks later, and neurodevelopmental assessment should take place at 2 years of age.

There is no evidence to guide frequency of ultrasound follow-up after treatment of TTTS. However, treatment should result in normalization of amniotic fluid by 14 days. Cardiac dysfunction generally normalizes in the recipient within 1 month, while the donor suffers a temporary impairment of cardiac function. A common practice is weekly ultrasound assessment for the first 2 weeks after treatment, reducing to alternate weeks following clinical evidence of resolution. Each ultrasound scan should assess the DVP, biometry (every 2 weeks), and umbilical artery, MCA (PSV) and DV Doppler in both fetuses. Nevertheless, 8% of all twins, both recipients and donors, will have pulmonary artery stenosis at the age of 10 years and 4% of survivors suffer antenatal brain damage.

Risk of brain abnormalities and neurodevelopmental delay in twin pregnancy with TTTS

Monochorionic twin pregnancies complicated by TTTS, single IUD, sFGR or TAPS are at increased risk of brain abnormalities and neurodevelopmental disability. In pregnancies complicated by TTTS, cerebral abnormalities were reported in 5% of those undergoing laser coagulation, 14% following serial amnioreduction and 21% following expectant management. Both donors and recipients are at risk of developing either ischemic or hemorrhagic lesions. At a median age of 34 months following laser treatment for TTTS, 7% of the children had major neurological abnormalities. The neurodevelopmental outcome at 6 years of age was similar to that at the age of 2 years and 10 months, with 9% of the children experiencing major neurodevelopmental delay.

Screening, diagnosis and management of twin anemia–polycthemia sequence (TAPS)

- The prenatal diagnosis of TAPS is based on the finding of discordant MCA Doppler abnormalities.
- There is little evidence about the outcome and optimal management of TAPS; therefore treatment options should be individualized and discussed with parents.

Understanding of the natural history and fetal and neonatal implications of TAPS in monochorionic pregnancies is still evolving. Moreover, the optimal treatment and
frequency and mode of surveillance have yet to be established. The incidence of TAPS occurring spontaneously in MCDA twins is up to 5%. However, it may complicate up to 13% of cases of TTTS following laser ablation. TAPS is believed to be due to the presence of miniscule arteriovenous anastomoses (<1 mm) which allow slow transfusion of blood from the donor to the recipient, leading to highly discordant hemoglobin concentrations at birth (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3). The postnatal diagnosis of TAPS is made based on the finding of chronic anemia (including reticulocytosis) in the donor and polycythemia in the recipient. The criteria for diagnosis include a difference in hemoglobin concentration between the twins of more than 8 g/dL and at least one of either reticulocyte count ratio greater than 1.7 or small vascular anastomoses (< 1 mm in diameter) in the placenta. The prenatal diagnosis of TAPS is based on the finding of discordant MCA Doppler abnormalities, including MCA-PSV > 1.5 multiples of the median (MoM) in the donor, suggesting fetal anemia, and MCA-PSV < 1.0 MoM in the recipient, suggesting polycythemia. Additional ultrasound findings in TAPS include differences in placental echogenicity and thickness, with a bright, thickened section associated with the donor and an echolucent thin section associated with the recipient. The polycythemic twin might have a ‘starry sky’ appearance of the liver pattern due to diminished echogenicity of the liver parenchyma and increased brightness of the portal venule walls. The antenatal and postnatal severity-based staging classifications are shown in Table 2 (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

The outcome of twin pregnancies complicated by TAPS is variable. Severe TAPS may result in IUd of both twins. At the other end of the spectrum, mild TAPS may still allow the birth of two healthy neonates (apart from having a significant difference in hemoglobin level between the two). It appears that the main neonatal morbidity is anemia (requiring transfusion) and polycythemia (possibly requiring partial exchange transfusion). However, cases of severe cerebral damage have been reported in TAPS neonates. Recent evidence suggests that, in monochorionic twins complicated by TAPS, the risk of neurodevelopmental delay is increased (20%) (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3). Therefore, brain imaging during the third trimester and neurodevelopmental assessment at the age of 2 years are recommended (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

The management options depend on the gestational age at diagnosis, parental choice, severity of the disease and technical feasibility of intrauterine therapy. Therefore, the management of twin pregnancies complicated by TAPS should be individualized. The commonest options include conservative management, early delivery, laser ablation or intraterine blood transfusion (IUT) for the anemic twin, combined IUT for the anemic twin and partial exchange transfusion to dilute the blood of the polycythemic twin. In order to screen for TAPS, the MCA-PSV should be measured from 20 weeks onwards in both fetuses, and during the follow-up of cases treated for TTTS. Prevention of TAPS by modification of the fetoscopic laser ablation technique remains the best way to prevent morbidity (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

**Twin reversed arterial perfusion (TRAP) sequence**

- The chances of survival of the pump twin are increased by the use of minimally invasive techniques (e.g. cord coagulation, cord ligation and photocoagulation of the anastomoses, as well as intrafetal methods), preferably before 16 weeks of gestation (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).

TRAP sequence is a rare complication of monochorionic twin pregnancy (1% of monochorionic twin pregnancies and 1 in 35 000 pregnancies overall). It is characterized by the presence of a TRAP or acardiac mass perfused by an apparently normal (pump) twin (Figure 5). The perfusion occurs in a retrograde fashion through arterioarterial anastomoses, usually through a common cord insertion site. This characteristic vascular arrangement predisposes to a hyperdynamic circulation and progressive high-output cardiac failure in the pump twin. The risk of demise of the pump fetus in TRAP sequence managed conservatively is up to 30% by 18 weeks’ gestation (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3). Different minimally invasive techniques, such as cord coagulation, cord ligation and photocoagulation of the anastomoses, as well as intrafetal methods, such as RFA and intrafetal laser therapy, are performed as a means of preventing the demise of the pump twin (Figure 5). The survival rate of the pump twin using these treatment modalities is approximately 80%. TRAP sequence pregnancies are usually monitored serially, with the aim of undertaking intrauterine therapy if cardiac strain becomes
Figure 5 (a) Mid-sagittal ultrasound image of pump twin in a pregnancy affected by twin reversed arterial perfusion (TRAP) sequence. (b,c) Sagittal views of TRAP mass. (d) Intrafetal laser treatment as a means to arrest the flow in the TRAP mass. The needle is positioned, under ultrasound guidance, in the TRAP mass near the cord insertion.

Evident in the pump twin or there is increased perfusion (including the occurrence of polyhydramnios) and growth of the TRAP mass. Therefore, careful monitoring and ultrasound follow-up in a tertiary fetal medicine center is indicated. However, close monitoring with ultrasound and Doppler does not prevent sudden demise. When treatment is necessary, it appears to be preferable before 16 weeks’ gestation. The rate of preterm birth before 32 weeks’ gestation is approximately 10%. Recent evidence suggests an inverse relationship between gestational age at treatment and gestational age at birth. Therefore, survival might be improved by elective intervention at 12–14 weeks’ gestation. However, it is important to acknowledge the observational nature of this evidence and the small size of the case series, which does not allow for the assessment of fetal loss rates compared with those following later intervention.

Monochorionic monoamniotic (MCMA) twins

- Umbilical cord entanglement is almost always present in MCMA twins and does not appear to contribute to their morbidity and mortality (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).
- Delivery by Cesarean section is recommended at 32–34 weeks (GRADE OF RECOMMENDATION: D).

MCMA twin pregnancies constitute approximately 5% of monochorionic twin pregnancies. The reported perinatal loss rate before 16 weeks’ gestation is as high as 50% (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3). Most losses are attributable to fetal abnormalities and spontaneous miscarriage (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3). The management of these pregnancies may be complex and should take place in centers with the relevant expertise. The loss rate has improved, from 40% in the older literature to 10–15% in recent studies (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2–). In a cohort study including 98 MCMA twin pregnancies, the perinatal mortality rate (from 20 weeks of gestation until 28 days of age) was 19%. However, the rate was 17% after exclusion of fetuses with a lethal anomaly. After 32 weeks of gestation, only two pregnancies were complicated by perinatal mortality (4%). The incidence of TTTS and cerebral injury was 6% and...
are delivered. Therefore, individualized assessment of non-respiratory neonatal complications when the twins in ongoing MCMA pregnancy compared with the risk of after 32 + 4 weeks’ gestation is lower in MCMA than in MCDA pregnancy (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3). This is based on the finding that, after 32 + 4 weeks’ gestation, the risk of IUD is greater in ongoing MCMA pregnancy compared with the risk of non-respiratory neonatal complications when the twins are delivered. Therefore, individualized assessment of these pregnancies should inform the timing of delivery.

It is important to realize that umbilical cord entanglement is present in almost all monoamniotic twins evaluated systematically by ultrasound and color Doppler. A systematic review including a total of 114 monoamniotic twin sets (228 fetuses) with cord entanglement concluded that cord entanglement does not contribute to prenatal morbidity and mortality in monoamniotic twin pregnancy. Moreover, the presence of an umbilical artery notch, without other signs of fetal deterioration, is not indicative of an adverse perinatal outcome (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 2–).

In MCMA twin pregnancies undergoing selective reduction (because of discordant anomaly, TRAP sequence, severe TTTS or sFGR), cord occlusion and transection are recommended to prevent fetal demise of the other twin due to cord accidents. The perinatal outcomes are similar to those of discordant MCDA twins treated with cord occlusion. However, the rate of preterm prelabor rupture of the membranes is higher and gestational age at delivery is lower in MCMA than in MCDA pregnancy (EVIDENCE LEVEL: 3).

Conjoined twins

Conjoined twins are very rare, occurring in approximately 1 in 100 000 pregnancies (1% of monochorionic twin pregnancies). Conjoined twins are always MCMA twin pregnancies. Diagnosis with ultrasound in the first trimester is now the norm (on visualizing close and fixed apposition of the fetal bodies, with fusion of the skin lines at some point). A recent series of 14 cases from a single referral center reported that, following diagnosis, 20% of parents opted for termination and 10% of fetuses died in utero. Among those opting to continue the pregnancy, survival to discharge was only around 25%, and the majority of these had significant morbidity.

The classification of conjoined twins depends on the site of the union. The most common form is thoracopagus, in which the twins face each other and have junctions between chest and abdomen, often with conjoined livers, hearts and intestinal structures.

In ongoing pregnancies, detailed expert ultrasound imaging (with or without MRI) is important in order to detail the cardiovascular (and other) anatomy of the twins as far as possible prior to delivery. Although vaginal delivery of conjoined twins has been reported, there is a significant risk of obstructed labor, dystocia and uterine rupture, so delivery by elective Cesarean section is now the rule. Such pregnancies should be assessed at a fetal medicine referral center, with multidisciplinary assessment and counseling. The pregnancy must be delivered at a center with expertise in the postnatal medical and surgical management of such cases. There are associated high rates of postnatal mortality and there is almost always morbidity.
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**APPENDIX 1** Grades of recommendations and levels of evidence used in these guidelines

**Classification of evidence levels**

1++
High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials or randomized controlled trials with very low risk of bias

1+
Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials or randomized controlled trials with low risk of bias

1
Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials or randomized controlled trials with high risk of bias

2++
High-quality systematic reviews of case–control or cohort studies or high-quality case–control or cohort studies with very low risk of confounding, bias or chance and high probability that the relationship is causal

2+
Well-conducted case–control or cohort studies with low risk of confounding, bias or chance and moderate probability that the relationship is causal

2
Case–control or cohort studies with high risk of confounding, bias or chance and significant risk that the relationship is not causal

3
Non-analytical studies, e.g. case reports, case series

4
Expert opinion

**Grades of recommendations**

A
At least one meta-analysis, systematic review or randomized controlled trial rated as 1++ and applicable directly to the target population; or systematic review of randomized controlled trials or a body of evidence consisting principally of studies rated as 1+ applicable directly to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results

B
Body of evidence including studies rated as 2++ applicable directly to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results; or extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1++ or 1+

C
Body of evidence including studies rated as 2+ applicable directly to the target population and demonstrating overall consistency of results; or extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2++

D
Evidence of level 3 or 4; or evidence extrapolated from studies rated as 2+

**Good practice point**
Recommended best practice based on the clinical experience of the guideline development group
Erratum


On Page 250, there is an inconsistency between information given in Figure 3 and that in the main text. The latter correctly states that umbilical artery Doppler is recommended 'from 20 weeks' gestation' whilst it is incorrectly recommended from 16 weeks in Figure 3. The correct Figure 3 is as follows:

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3** Ultrasound monitoring pathway in uncomplicated monochorionic twin pregnancy. DVP, deepest vertical pocket; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PI, pulsatility index; PSV, peak systolic velocity; UA, umbilical artery.

The authors apologize for this mistake.